

will come up as a result of a couple of the last few days. This first amendment, if you are looking at the book prepared, deletes a series, or reduces a series of appropriations. The first one is a capital facility plan. It was the Department of Education. It was \$20,000 for some facility planning review. Primarily it would have affected the facility of the visually handicapped at Nebraska City and the School for the Deaf at Omaha. The next one is a sewer system connection at the Norfolk Regional Center which it was our understanding could be deferred, perhaps not done at this point at least. We deleted \$30,000 of facility planning, the military, which was to be used to look at various armory's around the state. We deleted general funds and substituted cash funds, for Capitol restoration, in the amount of 110,000 reduction in the general fund. That was contingent...not contingent but in anticipation of the passage of LB 420 which sells some property. The sale of that property goes into the Capitol restoration fund. It reduces what we refer to as the 309 deferred maintenance from 3 million to 2 million, a \$1 million reduction. Then the Lab Science building at UNO, this year's original appropriation was 2.6 million. This reduced that amount by 750,000 and we'll have another amendment that reduces it an additional 250,000, so in the end the full amount available will be about 1.6 million. They will have some deferral on the time of construction starting, but it will still be able to be commenced this year. Then we also were able to reduce the excess balance for bond payment of the law enforcement training center which is the reserve money set aside for the debt service by \$182,406, which was not needed to be appropriated this year. The sum total of these reductions in the capital construction is \$2,192,406. None of these proposals...oh, I skipped one, excuse me. State college, miscellaneous renovation fund was at 150,000 originally, reduced to 75,000. The rationale was that that more nearly reflected the last year's expenditure in this area in any event. In addition they did not have as much fire and safety problems to address immediately, and this would be adequate for those miscellaneous renovations that we annually appropriate. I move adoption of the amendment.

SPEAKER NICHOL: Any further discussion on the amendments? If not, the question is the adoption of the Warner amendment. All those in favor vote aye, opposed nay. We are voting on the adoption of the Warner amendment. Record, Mr. Clerk, please.